Plant Archives Vol. 25, No. 1, 2025 pp. 787-798 e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org
DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.no.1.119

MULTI-TRAIT BREEDING FOR PEST RESISTANCE, YIELD AND STRESS TOLERANCE IN FIELD CROPS: A REVIEW

Indiragandhi Pandiyan[1], Sandeep Govindappa Shinde[2]*, Ambika More[2], Mouli Paul[3], Gurpreet Singh[4], Rughwinder Kaur[5], Shreya Patyal[5], Yogita Thaper[5] and Harpreet Singh[6]

[1]Regional Research Station, Vridhachalam, Tamil Nadu, Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.
[2]Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani - 431402, M.S., India.
[3]Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Narendrapur Campus, Kolkata, India.
[4]Department of Agriculture, Bhai Gurdas Degree College, Sangrur, Punjab, India.
[5]Department of Agriculture (Fruit Science), Mata Gujri College, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab, India.
[6]Department of Applied Science, Asra Group of institutions, Sangrur, Punjab, India.
*Corresponding author E-mail : sandeepshinde@vnmkv.ac.in

(Date of Receiving-10-01-2025; Date of Acceptance-12-03-2025)

ABSTRACT

Multi-trait breeding is an advanced agricultural approach aimed at enhancing the resilience and productivity of field crops by simultaneously addressing multiple agronomic traits. With the increasing threats posed by pests, abiotic stress factors (such as drought, salinity and extreme temperatures) and the ever-growing demand for higher crop yields, multi-trait breeding is becoming indispensable in sustainable agriculture. This article explores the significance of multi-trait breeding for improving pest resistance, yield and stress tolerance in field crops. The integration of genetic, genomic and biotechnological tools, alongside traditional breeding methods, offers a robust framework for tackling these challenges. By analyzing the genetic mechanisms underlying pest resistance, stress tolerance and yield potential, the article emphasizes the potential of multi-trait breeding to address global food security concerns. Additionally, it discusses the current status, challenges and future prospects of multi-trait breeding, including the role of genomic selection and CRISPR-Cas9 technologies.

Key words : Multi-trait breeding, Pest resistance, Yield, Stress tolerance, Field crops, Genomic selection, CRISPR-Cas9, Agricultural sustainability, Abiotic stress, Genetic resistance, Crop improvement, Precision breeding.

Introduction

The global agricultural landscape is under increasing pressure due to a growing human population, climate change and evolving pest and disease challenges. Traditional breeding methods, while effective, are limited in their capacity to simultaneously address multiple stresses that affect crop productivity (Campos, 2004). In recent years, multi-trait breeding has emerged as a promising strategy to improve field crops by targeting a combination of desirable traits, such as pest resistance, yield enhancement and stress tolerance (Duvick, 2005). This approach integrates genetic information from multiple traits, enabling the development of crops that are not only high-yielding but also resilient to environmental challenges and pests.

Multi-Trait Breeding refers to a selective breeding approach that focuses on improving multiple traits simultaneously within a population of plants or animals. Unlike single-trait selection, which targets only one characteristic, multi-trait breeding considers various attributes such as yield, disease resistance, quality, adaptability and environmental sustainability (Tollenaar, 2002). This approach often involves advanced genetic techniques, statistical models and genomic selection to optimize desirable traits while minimizing trade-offs (Harrison, 2014). Multi-trait breeding is widely used in agriculture and livestock improvement to enhance overall performance, resilience and productivity in response to evolving environmental and market demands (Deryng, 2014). **Pest Resistance** in crops refers to the ability of a plant to withstand or reduce damage caused by harmful insects, pathogens or other pests. This resistance can be either natural, due to genetic traits within the plant or induced through selective breeding and biotechnology. Pest-resistant crops produce physical or biochemical defences, such as thickened cell walls, toxic compounds or pest-deterring proteins, to minimize infestation and damage (Farooq, 2019). Developing pest-resistant varieties is a key strategy in sustainable agriculture, as it reduces reliance on chemical pesticides, lowers production costs and helps maintain ecological balance while ensuring stable crop yields (Leipner J., 2009). **Stress Tolerance** in crops refers to a plant's ability to endure and adapt to adverse environmental conditions such as drought, extreme temperatures, salinity or nutrient deficiencies without significant loss in growth or yield. This trait can be naturally present in some plant species or developed through selective breeding and genetic engineering (Subbaiah, 2009). Stress-tolerant crops possess physiological, biochemical and molecular mechanisms that help them mitigate damage caused by environmental stressors. Enhancing stress tolerance is essential for ensuring food security, improving agricultural productivity and promoting sustainable farming practices, especially in regions affected by climate change and soil degradation (Redinbaugh, 2009).

Understanding Multi-Trait Breeding

Multi-trait breeding refers to the selection of plants based on several agronomically important traits, with an emphasis on improving multiple characteristics at once. Unlike single-trait breeding, which focuses on improving one trait at a time (e.g., pest resistance), multi-trait breeding addresses the interplay between various factors such as yield, pest resistance, drought tolerance, salinity resistance and disease resistance (McMullen, 2008). This approach recognizes that plant performance is influenced by a combination of genetic, environmental and physiological factors, making it necessary to optimize multiple attributes to achieve better overall productivity and resilience (Calderón-Vázquez, 2009). Multi-trait breeding is especially valuable in modern agriculture, where farmers and plant breeders must balance various demands, including climate change adaptation, food security and sustainability. By selecting for multiple traits simultaneously, breeders can develop crop varieties that are not only high-yielding but also resistant to biotic (pests and diseases) and abiotic (drought, salinity and extreme temperatures) stresses (Gunes, 2005).

This breeding strategy relies on advanced genetic tools and statistical models, such as genomic selection, marker-assisted selection (MAS) and artificial intelligence-driven trait analysis, to evaluate and predict plant performance based on multiple characteristics (Ngoune Tandzi, 2018). The integration of these technologies allows for more efficient and precise breeding programs, reducing the time required to develop improved crop varieties. Furthermore, multi-trait breeding helps mitigate trade-offs that may arise when selecting for a single trait (Challinor, 2014). For example, improving yield alone might sometimes lead to reduced pest resistance or increased vulnerability to drought. By considering multiple traits together, breeders can ensure that crop improvement efforts result in well-rounded plant varieties that meet the diverse needs of farmers, consumers and the environment (Easterling, 2007). Multi-trait breeding is a holistic and strategic approach to crop improvement that enhances agricultural productivity, resilience and sustainability by selecting for multiple beneficial traits simultaneously. This method plays a crucial role in addressing global challenges related to food security, climate variability and resource efficiency in modern farming systems (Rosenzweig, 2014).

Genetic Basis of Multi-Trait Breeding

Multi-trait breeding is fundamentally driven by the genetic architecture of plants, which involves complex interactions between multiple genes, their expression patterns and environmental influences. The success of multi-trait breeding depends on understanding the inheritance patterns, genetic correlations and trade-offs among different traits. Several genetic principles and technologies are essential for optimizing multi-trait selection in breeding programs (Jiang, 2018).

- **Genetic Correlations between Traits :** Traits in plants are often controlled by multiple genes (polygenic inheritance) and can be correlated due to shared genetic pathways. These correlations can be positive (when improving one trait also enhances another, e.g., drought tolerance and root depth) or negative (when improving one trait adversely affects another, e.g., high grain yield reducing pest resistance). Understanding these relationships helps breeders design strategies that optimize desirable traits while minimizing negative trade-offs (Aslam, 2015).

- **Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) Mapping :** Many agronomical important traits, such as yield, stress tolerance and disease resistance, are controlled by multiple genes known as Quantitative Trait Loci (QTLs). Identifying and mapping QTLs allow breeders to understand which genomic regions contribute to specific traits. By selecting plants with favourable QTL combinations, breeders can improve multiple traits simultaneously (Buhinièek, 2021).
- **Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) :** Molecular markers (such as SSRs, SNPs and RFLPs) are used to track desirable genes linked to important traits. MAS enable breeders to screen large populations efficiently, selecting plants that carry beneficial alleles for multiple traits without relying solely on phenotypic evaluation. This accelerates the breeding process and increases accuracy in multi-trait selection (Lobell, 2013).
- **Genomic Selection (GS) :** Unlike MAS, which focuses on specific markers linked to known traits, genomic selection (GS) uses whole-genome prediction models to estimate the breeding value of plants based on thousands of genetic markers. GS is particularly useful for complex, polygenic traits where multiple genes contribute small effects. This technique enhances multi-trait breeding by improving selection efficiency and reducing breeding cycles (Crafts-Brandner, 2002).
- **Gene Editing and Genetic Engineering :** Advanced biotechnological tools such as CRISPR-Cas9 and transgenic technology allow direct modification of plant genomes to introduce or enhance desirable traits. Gene editing can be used to develop crop varieties with improved multi-trait performance, such as enhanced disease resistance, increased yield and greater stress tolerance, without relying solely on traditional breeding methods (Cicchino, 2010).
- **Epigenetics and Gene Expression Regulation :** Recent research highlights the role of epigenetics in multi-trait breeding, where modifications like DNA methylation and histone modifications influence gene expression without altering the DNA sequence. Understanding epigenetic regulation helps breeders manipulate gene expression patterns to improve complex traits such as drought tolerance and pest resistance (Hasanuzzaman, 2013).

Pest Resistance in Field Crops

The Challenge of Pest Damage

Pests, including insects, nematodes, fungi, bacteria, viruses and other pathogens, pose significant threats to global crop production. These pests can affect crops at various growth stages, leading to reduced yields, lower crop quality and significant economic losses for farmers (Franiæ, 2019). The severity of pest damage depends on factors such as climate, cropping system, pest population dynamics and the plant's natural defence mechanisms.

- **Direct and Indirect Pest Damage**

  Pests impact crops in two primary ways:

  - **Direct Damage**: Some pests physically feed on plant tissues, including leaves, stems, roots, flowers and fruits, leading to reduced plant vigour, stunted growth and lower productivity. For example, caterpillars and aphids consume leaves, affecting photosynthesis, while root-feeding nematodes weaken plant anchorage and nutrient absorption (Ciampitti, 2011).
  - **Indirect Damage**: Many pests act as vectors for plant diseases, spreading viruses, bacteria and fungi that further weaken crops. For example, the whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) transmits plant viruses like the Tomato Yellow Leaf Curl Virus (TYLCV) and aphids spread Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus, significantly reducing yields (Sher, 2017).

- **Economic and Agricultural Impact**

Pest infestations cause billions of dollars in economic losses worldwide, affecting both small-scale farmers and commercial agricultural enterprises (Nguyen, 2013). The financial burden includes:

  - **Yield reduction** due to plant damage or death.
  - **Increased production costs** due to pesticide applications, pest monitoring and crop protection measures.
  - **Post-harvest losses**, as some pests, like grain weevils and storage fungi, continue damaging crops even after harvest.
  - **Market restrictions**, since pest-infested crops may not meet export standards or consumer demands.

- **Climate Change and Pest Proliferation**

Global climate change is exacerbating pest problems, as rising temperatures, altered rainfall patterns and extended growing seasons create more favourable conditions for pest survival and reproduction. For example, warmer climates allow insects like locusts and fall armyworms to expand their geographic range, increasing their impact on food security (Parsons, 2008). Additionally, higher carbon dioxide levels may enhance pest resistance to chemical control methods, making pest management even more challenging.

- **Challenges in Pest Management**

Traditional pest management strategies, including chemical pesticides, crop rotation and biological control, have limitations:

- **Overuse of pesticides** leads to pesticide resistance in pests, reducing the effectiveness of chemical control.
- **Environmental concerns**, as pesticides can harm beneficial insects, pollinators and soil health.
- **High costs** of pest control measures, making it difficult for small-scale farmers to afford effective solutions.
- **Emerging pest species**, with new pests continuously evolving due to climate change, trade and monoculture farming practices.

- **The Role of Pest-Resistant Crops in Sustainable Agriculture**

Given these challenges, developing pest-resistant crop varieties through traditional breeding and biotechnology is a key solution. Pest-resistant crops reduce reliance on chemical pesticides, lower production costs and promote sustainable agricultural practices. Modern breeding techniques such as marker-assisted selection (MAS), genetic engineering and RNA interference (RNAi) are being used to develop crops with built-in resistance to pests. For example, Bt crops (such as Bt cotton and Bt maize) produce insecticidal proteins that protect against pests like bollworms and corn borers, significantly reducing pesticide use (Meissle, 2011).

Mechanisms of Pest Resistance

Pest resistance in crops refers to the plant's ability to withstand or minimize damage caused by various pests, including **insects, nematodes, fungi, bacteria and viruses**. The mechanisms of pest resistance are complex and involve multiple biological processes that help plants defend themselves against pest attacks. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for developing pest-resistant crop varieties through breeding and biotechnology. Pest resistance mechanisms can be categorized into three main types: **Antibiosis, Antixenosis and Tolerance (**Galiæ, 2019).

- **Antibiosis**

Antibiosis is a resistance mechanism in which the plant adversely affects the biology of the pest, either by inhibiting pest growth, development, reproduction or survival. This type of resistance is usually associated with the presence of toxic chemical compounds or structural barriers in the plant. It's reducing pest population directly. It's also having Long-lasting protection without the need for pesticides. It can create selective pressure, leading to the development of resistant pest populations (Campos-Bermudez, 2013). For examples:

- Production of secondary metabolites like alkaloids, phenolic compounds and terpenoids that are toxic to insects or pathogens.
- Bt cotton produces insecticidal proteins from the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis*, which kills specific insects like bollworms.
- Tannins and phenolic compounds in certain plants interfere with the digestion and metabolism of herbivorous insects.

- **Antixenosis (Non-Preference)**

Antixenosis is a type of pest resistance where the plant exhibits characteristics that deter or discourage pests from feeding, laying eggs or using the plant as a habitat (Mahalingam, 2015). This mechanism does not directly harm the pest but makes the plant less attractive or palatable to the pest. For Examples:

- Presence of thick waxy coatings on leaves that prevent insect feeding.
- Rough leaf surfaces in crops like sorghum that deter insect pests like aphids.
- Release of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that repel insects.

- **Tolerance**

Tolerance is the ability of a plant to withstand pest damage without a significant reduction in yield or overall plant performance. Unlike antibiosis or antixenosis, tolerant plants do not deter pests or harm them directly but instead have physiological or biochemical mechanisms that allow them to recover from damage (Rivero, 2021). For examples:

- Rapid re-growth of damaged tissues in crops like wheat and sugarcane.
- Enhanced production of secondary metabolites that help repair damaged cells.
- Efficient water and nutrient uptake that compensates for pest-induced stress.

- **Molecular Resistance**

Plants produce defensive proteins and enzymes that attack pests or inhibit their ability to cause disease (Mittler, 2006). These include:

- Pathogenesis-Related (PR) Proteins: Enzymes that break down fungal cell walls.
- Protease Inhibitors: Compounds that interfere with insect digestion.
- RNA Interference (RNAi): Gene silencing mechanism that targets insect genes, reducing their survival or reproduction.

- **Structural Resistance**

Plants develop physical barriers that make it difficult for pests to access or consume plant tissues (Suzuki, 2014). Examples include:

- Thick cuticles or trichomes on leaves.
- Lignified cell walls that prevent fungal penetration.
- Silica deposits in plants like rice and wheat that deter insect feeding.

- **Induced Resistance**

Plants can activate defence mechanisms in response to pest attacks. This phenomenon is known as induced resistance and can be triggered by:

- Mechanical damage.
- Chemical signals from nearby infected plants.
- Microbial interactions with beneficial soil organisms.

The mechanisms of pest resistance in crops are diverse and play a crucial role in developing pest-resistant crop varieties. Combining antibiosis, antixenosis and tolerance in breeding programs can enhance the overall effectiveness of pest resistance. Advances in molecular biology, biotechnology and genomic selection are providing new tools to identify, transfer and enhance resistance traits in crops. By integrating pest-resistant varieties with integrated pest management (IPM) strategies, sustainable and environmentally friendly agricultural systems can be achieved, reducing pesticide dependency and improving global food security (Zandalinas, 2021).

Advances in Pest Resistance Breeding

Modern pest resistance breeding often relies on genetic approaches such as:

- **Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) mapping**: Identifying regions in the genome associated with resistance traits.
- **Genomic Selection (GS)**: A method that uses genomic data to predict the breeding values of multiple traits, including pest resistance.
- **Gene Editing (CRISPR-Cas9)**: Targeting specific genes associated with pest resistance to directly improve plant defence mechanisms.

Importance of Multi-Trait Breeding in Crop Improvement

Multi-trait breeding plays a crucial role in modern crop improvement by selecting plants with multiple beneficial traits simultaneously. Unlike single-trait breeding, which focuses on enhancing only one characteristic at a time (e.g., yield or disease resistance), multi-trait breeding takes a holistic approach by improving several traits that contribute to overall plant performance, resilience and productivity (Cairns, 2013). This approach is essential in addressing the growing challenges of climate change, food security and sustainable agriculture.

- **Enhancing Yield Potential and Stability**

Crop yield is influenced by various factors such as genetics, environmental conditions and pest/disease pressure (Meseka, 2018). Multi-trait breeding allows breeders to develop high-yielding varieties that also possess complementary traits such as:

- **Drought and heat tolerance** to maintain yield under extreme weather conditions.
- **Disease and pest resistance** to minimize crop losses.
- **Efficient nutrient uptake** for improved growth in nutrient-deficient soils.

- **Improving Stress Tolerance**

Abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, extreme temperatures and poor soil conditions significantly affect crop productivity (Nelimor, 2019). Multi-trait breeding enables the development of varieties that can:

- **Survive in water-limited environments** through deep root systems and water-use efficiency.
- **Withstand high salinity** by maintaining ionic balance in plant cells.
- **Adapt to fluctuating temperatures** by producing heat-shock proteins that protect cells.

- **Strengthening Pest and Disease Resistance**

Pests and diseases are major threats to agricultural production, leading to significant yield losses and increased dependency on chemical pesticides (Chiuta, 2020). Multi-trait breeding helps create crop varieties with:

- **Natural resistance to insects, fungi, bacteria and viruses** through genetic defences.
- **Induced resistance mechanisms** that enable crops to recover from infections.
- **Multiple resistance genes**, reducing the risk of pests developing resistance over time.

By integrating pest and disease resistance with other agronomic traits, crop losses can be minimized and pesticide use can be reduced, promoting eco-friendly agriculture.

- **Enhancing Nutritional Quality and Market Value**

Consumers are increasingly demanding nutrient-rich and high-quality crops (Nasser, 2020). Multi-trait breeding allows the simultaneous improvement of:

- **Protein, vitamin and mineral content** for better nutrition (e.g., bio-fortified crops like Golden Rice enriched with Vitamin A).
- **Shelf-life and post-harvest quality** to reduce food waste and increase marketability.
- **Taste, texture and cooking properties**, ensuring consumer acceptance.

This approach benefits both farmers and consumers, leading to higher economic returns and improved public health.

- **Supporting Sustainable and Climate-Resilient Agriculture**

With increasing environmental concerns, sustainable agricultural practices are a priority (Nelimor, 2020). Multi-trait breeding contributes to sustainability by developing crops that:

- **Require fewer chemical inputs**, such as fertilizers and pesticides.
- **Utilize water efficiently**, reducing agricultural water consumption.
- **Promote soil health** through traits like nitrogen fixation in legumes.

By integrating multiple beneficial traits, farmers can reduce production costs, lower environmental impact and improve long-term agricultural sustainability.

- **Accelerating Crop improvement with Modern Breeding Technologies**

Advancements in genomics, bioinformatics and molecular breeding have significantly enhanced the efficiency of multi-trait breeding (Tesfaye, 2018). Techniques such as:

- **Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS)** allow breeders to identify and select genes linked to multiple desirable traits.
- **Genomic Selection (GS)** predicts plant performance based on genetic markers, speeding up breeding cycles.
- **CRISPR and gene editing technologies** enable precise modifications for improved multi-trait combinations.

These technologies accelerate breeding programs, allowing for the rapid development of resilient and high-performing crop varieties.

Yield Improvement in Field Crops

Yield improvement in field crops is a key focus in modern agriculture to ensure food security, economic viability and sustainable farming. Yield refers to the total quantity of harvested produce per unit area and is influenced by genetics, environmental conditions and agronomic practices. Several strategies, including genetic improvement, advanced breeding techniques, precision agriculture and sustainable farming practices, contribute to enhancing crop yield (Mengesha, 2017).

- **Genetic Improvement through Breeding**

Genetic advancements play a crucial role in increasing yield potential by developing crop varieties with higher productivity, stress resilience and better resource use efficiency (Annor, 2019). Key breeding approaches include:

- **Conventional Breeding**

Traditional plant breeding methods, such as selection, hybridization and mutation breeding, help develop high-yielding crop varieties (Prasanna, 2021). Examples include:

- Hybrid maize and rice with improved vigour and yield potential.
- Dwarf wheat and rice varieties (e.g., IR8 & Norman Borlaug's wheat) with higher grain production and lodging resistance.

- **Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS)**

MAS uses molecular markers to select plants with genes linked to high yield, pest resistance and stress tolerance, accelerating breeding programs (Forieri I., 2015).

- **Genomic Selection (GS) and CRISPR Gene Editing**
  - Genomic selection allows breeders to predict yield potential based on whole-genome information.
  - CRISPR gene editing enables precise

modifications in crop genomes to improve yield-related traits, such as grain size, tillering capacity and photosynthetic efficiency.

- **Enhancing Crop Physiology for Higher Yield**

Crop yield depends on physiological traits such as photosynthesis efficiency, nutrient uptake and reproductive success (Block, 2020). Scientists are improving these traits through:

- Enhanced Photosynthesis Efficiency: C4 rice and wheat development aims to improve CO, fixation, increasing biomass production.
- Improved Nutrient Use Efficiency: Breeding for nitrogen-use efficiency reduces fertilizer dependency while maintaining high yields.
- Optimized Flowering and Grain Filling: Adjusting flowering time and increasing grain size leads to higher yield potential.

- **Stress Tolerance for Stable Yields**

Field crops face multiple abiotic and biotic stresses that can reduce yield potential. Developing crops with stress resilience ensures yield stability under challenging conditions (Yang, 2018).

- **Drought and Heat Tolerance**
- Deep-rooted maize and sorghum varieties access water in dry conditions.
- Heat-tolerant wheat and rice varieties maintain grain formation under high temperatures.
- **Pest and Disease Resistance**
- Bt cotton and Bt maize reduce yield losses from insect pests.
- Rust-resistant wheat and virus-resistant cassava prevent disease outbreaks that impact yield.
- **Salinity and Flood Tolerance**
- Salt-tolerant rice varieties (e.g., Swarna Sub1) can survive waterlogged conditions and saline soils.

- **Precision Agriculture and Smart Farming**

Modern technologies optimize crop management, maximizing yield while minimizing resource use (Parsons, 2010). Key innovations include:

- Drones and Sensors: Monitor crop health, soil moisture and nutrient levels for precise input application.
- GPS-Guided Machinery: Ensures efficient sowing, fertilization and irrigation.
- Data-Driven Decision Making: AI and machine learning help predict yield potential and recommend best practices.

- **Improved Agronomic Practices for Higher Yield**

Farmers can maximize yield through proper crop management, including:

- Crop Rotation and Intercropping: Enhances soil fertility and reduces pest pressure.
- Optimized Plant Density and Spacing: Ensures efficient light capture and resource utilization.
- Integrated Pest Management (IPM): Combines biological, chemical and cultural controls to minimize yield losses.
- **Efficient Water and Nutrient Management**
  - o Drip irrigation conserves water while ensuring adequate moisture.
  - o Balanced fertilizer application prevents nutrient deficiencies or excesses that could affect yield.

Stress Tolerance in Field Crops

Stress tolerance in field crops refers to the ability of plants to withstand and adapt to various abiotic (non-living) and biotic (living) stresses without significant yield losses. Improving stress tolerance is crucial for food security, sustainable agriculture and climate resilience, as crops often face harsh environmental conditions and pest attacks (Chávez-Arias, 2021).

Types of Stress in Field Crops

Abiotic Stresses (Environmental Stresses)

Abiotic stresses are caused by non-living environmental factors that negatively impact crop growth and productivity (Atkinson, 2012).

- **Drought Stress**
- Occurs due to water scarcity, leading to reduced plant growth, photosynthesis and yield.
- Drought-tolerant crops have deep root systems, waxy leaf coatings and efficient water-use mechanisms.
- Example: Drought-resistant maize and wheat varieties (e.g., *DroughtTEGO* maize).

- **Heat and Cold Stress**
  - High temperatures accelerate respiration and reduce grain filling, leading to lower yields.
  - Cold stress (frost) can damage seedlings and delay growth.
  - Heat-tolerant crops have heat-shock proteins, while cold-tolerant crops maintain membrane

stability under low temperatures.

- Example: Heat-tolerant wheat (e.g., HD 2967) and cold-resistant barley varieties.

- **Salinity Stress**
  - Saline soils affect water uptake and nutrient balance, leading to poor growth.
  - Salt-tolerant crops use ion regulation, salt exclusion and osmotic adjustment to survive.
  - Example: Salt-tolerant rice varieties (e.g., Swarna Sub1) and barley.
- **Flooding and Water logging Stress**
  - Excessive water reduces oxygen availability, affecting root respiration and nutrient uptake.
  - Flood-tolerant crops have aerenchyma tissue that helps roots survive in low-oxygen conditions.
  - Example: Submergence-tolerant rice (e.g., Sub1A gene in Swarna Sub1 rice).
- **Nutrient Deficiency Stress**
  - Lack of essential nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium) weakens plant growth.
  - Nutrient-efficient crops can uptake and utilize nutrients efficiently, reducing fertilizer dependence.
  - Example: Nitrogen-efficient maize and phosphorus-efficient soybean varieties.

- **Biotic Stresses (Living Organisms)**

Biotic stresses are caused by pests, diseases and weeds that reduce crop productivity.

- **Insect Pests**
- Insects such as aphids, bollworms and stem borers cause direct damage by feeding on plants.
- Pest-resistant crops use natural toxins, antixenosis and antibiosis mechanisms to deter pests.
- Example: Bt cotton (produces insecticidal proteins against bollworms).
- **Diseases (Fungal, Bacterial and Viral)**
- Fungal infections (rust, blight), bacterial diseases (wilt) and viruses (mosaic virus) reduce yield.
- Disease-resistant crops have pathogen-resistant genes (R-genes) and systemic acquired resistance (SAR).
- Example: Rust-resistant wheat (Lr genes) and virus-resistant cassava (Cassava Mosaic Disease-resistant varieties).
- **Weed Competition**
- Weeds compete with crops for nutrients, water and sunlight, reducing yield.
- Herbicide-tolerant crops allow for selective weed control.
- Example: Herbicide-resistant soybean and maize.

Mechanisms of Stress Tolerance in Crops

- **Physiological and Morphological Adaptations**
- Deep roots for water absorption during drought.
- Waxy cuticles and thick leaves to reduce water loss.
- Leaf rolling and stomatal closure to prevent dehydration.
- **Biochemical and Molecular Mechanisms**
- Production of osmolytes (proline, sugars) to maintain cell hydration.
- Antioxidant enzymes to neutralize oxidative stress.
- Gene expression changes to activate stress-response pathways.
- **Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology**
- CRISPR gene editing for drought, heat and disease tolerance.
- Transgenic crops (GMOs) with stress-tolerant genes (e.g., *Sub1A* in flood-tolerant rice).
- Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) for faster breeding of stress-resistant crops.

Integrating Pest Resistance, Yield and Stress Tolerance in Multi-Trait Breeding

Integrating pest resistance, yield and stress tolerance in multi-trait breeding is essential for developing resilient and high-performing crop varieties. Traditional breeding methods often focused on single traits, but modern approaches leverage advanced genetics, biotechnology and genomic selection to incorporate multiple beneficial characteristics simultaneously (Obata, 2015). Pest resistance reduces the reliance on chemical pesticides, lowering environmental impact and production costs. High yield ensures food security and economic viability, while stress tolerance enables crops to withstand adverse conditions such as drought, salinity and extreme temperatures. The challenge lies in balancing these traits, as improving one may sometimes negatively impact another (Ayub, 2021). Marker-assisted selection, gene editing and transgenic technologies allow breeders to combine desirable traits with precision, accelerating the breeding process. Additionally, understanding gene interactions and environmental influences is crucial for optimizing trait expression. Multi-trait breeding programs benefit from big data, artificial intelligence and machine learning to predict outcomes and enhance efficiency. By integrating pest resistance, yield and stress tolerance, breeders can develop climate-resilient crops that sustain productivity and reduce agricultural vulnerabilities. Such innovations are vital for addressing global food challenges, ensuring sustainable farming and adapting to a rapidly changing climate while meeting the growing demands of an increasing population (Mittler, 2002).

Future Prospects of Multi-Trait Breeding

Advancements in Genomic Technologies

The future of multi-trait breeding will be shaped by cutting-edge genomic tools such as CRISPR-based gene editing, genomic selection and marker-assisted breeding. These technologies enable precise modifications, allowing breeders to integrate pest resistance, yield enhancement and stress tolerance more efficiently. Improved understanding of gene networks will facilitate the stacking of multiple desirable traits without compromising plant performance (Choudhury, 2017).

Artificial Intelligence and Big Data in Breeding

AI and big data analytics are set to revolutionize crop improvement by predicting trait interactions and optimizing breeding strategies. Machine learning models can analyze vast genetic datasets to identify ideal trait combinations, accelerating the development of superior crop varieties. AI-driven predictive breeding will help tailor crops to specific environmental conditions and market demands (Herb, 2021).

Climate-Resilient and Sustainable Crops

As climate change intensifies, multi-trait breeding will focus on developing crops with enhanced resilience to extreme weather, pests and soil degradation. Drought- and heat-tolerant varieties with built-in pest resistance will ensure food security and reduce reliance on agrochemicals, promoting sustainable agriculture (Sachdev, 2021).

Integration of Biotechnology and Traditional Breeding

Future breeding programs will merge biotechnological advancements with conventional breeding techniques to harness natural genetic diversity. This holistic approach will ensure that crops maintain genetic stability while benefiting from targeted trait enhancements.

Global Collaboration and Policy Support

International research collaborations and supportive policies will play a key role in the success of multi-trait breeding. Investments in research, regulatory approvals for biotech crops and knowledge-sharing initiatives will drive innovation, ensuring that farmers worldwide benefit from improved crop varieties (Anjum, 2015).

Conclusion

Multi-trait breeding represents the future of sustainable agriculture, integrating pest resistance, high yield and stress tolerance to create resilient crop varieties. As global food demand rises and climate change intensifies, the need for crops that can thrive under diverse environmental conditions becomes increasingly urgent. Advanced breeding technologies, including genomic selection, gene editing and AI-driven analytics, are revolutionizing the way scientists develop improved crop varieties. These innovations enable precise trait stacking, ensuring that new cultivars maintain genetic stability while maximizing productivity and resilience. Furthermore, multi-trait breeding reduces reliance on chemical pesticides and fertilizers, promoting environmentally friendly farming practices. By combining biotechnology with traditional breeding methods, researchers can leverage genetic diversity to create crops suited to specific regional challenges. However, the success of these efforts depends on global collaboration, investment in research and supportive policies that facilitate the adoption of biotech-enhanced crops. Moving forward, a holistic approach that integrates scientific advancements with farmer participation will be essential in achieving food security and sustainability. By embracing multi-trait breeding, agriculture can become more efficient, resilient and environmentally responsible, ultimately ensuring a stable food supply for future generations in the face of evolving global challenges.

References

AbdElgawad, H., Zinta G., Hamed B.A., Selim S., Beemster G., Hozzein W.N., Wadaan M.A.M., Asard H. and Abuelsoud W. (2020). Maize Roots and Shoots Show Distinct Profiles of Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Defense under Heavy Metal Toxicity. *Environ. Pollut. Barking Essex 1987*. **258**, 113705. : 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113705.

AbdElgawad, H., Zinta G., Hamed B.A., Selim S., Beemster G., Hozzein W.N., Wadaan M.A.M., Asard H. and Abuelsoud W. (2020). Maize Roots and Shoots Show Distinct Profiles of Oxidative Stress and Antioxidant Defense under Heavy Metal Toxicity. *Environ. Pollut. Barking Essex 1987*. **258**, 113705. : 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113705.

Aslam, M., Maqbool M.A. and Cengiz R. (2015). Drought Stress in Maize (*Zea mays* L.): Effects, Resistance Mechanism, Global

Achievements and Biological Strategies for Improvement. Springer; Cham, Switzerland: 2015. Springer Briefs in Agriculture.

Aslam, M., Maqbool M.A. and Cengiz R. (2015). Drought Stress in Maize (*Zea mays* L.): Effects, Resistance Mechanism, Global Achievements and Biological Strategies for Improvement. Springer; Cham, Switzerland: 2015. Springer Briefs in Agriculture.

Badu-Apraku, B. and Akinwale R. (2011). Cultivar Evaluation and Trait Analysis of Tropical Early Maturing Maize under Strigainfested and Strigafree Environments. *Field Crops Res*., **1**, 186–194. : 10.1016/j.fcr.2010.12.011.

Badu-Apraku, B. and Akinwale R. (2011). Cultivar Evaluation and Trait Analysis of Tropical Early Maturing Maize under Strigainfested and Strigafree Environments. *Field Crops Res*., **1**, 186–194. : 10.1016/j.fcr.2010.12.011.

Balint-Kurti P.J. and Johal G.S. (2009). Maize Disease Resistance. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer New York; New York, NY: 2009. pp. 229–250.

Balint-Kurti P.J. and Johal G.S. (2009). Maize Disease Resistance. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer New York; New York, NY: 2009. pp. 229–250.

Bänziger, M. and Araus J.-L. (2007). Recent Advances in Breeding Maize for Drought and Salinity Stress Tolerance. In: Jenks, M.A., Hasegawa P.M. and Jain S.M. (eds). *Advances in Molecular Breeding Toward Drought and Salt Tolerant Crops.* Springer; Dordrecht, Netherlands. pp. 587–601.

Bänziger, M. and Araus J.-L. (2007). Recent Advances in Breeding Maize for Drought and Salinity Stress Tolerance. In: Jenks, M.A., Hasegawa P.M. and Jain S.M. (eds). *Advances in Molecular Breeding Toward Drought and Salt Tolerant Crops.* Springer; Dordrecht, Netherlands. pp. 587–601.

Blondel, C., Khelalfa F., Reynaud S., Fauvelle F. and Raveton M. (2016). Effect of Organochlorine Pesticides Exposure on the Maize Root Metabolome Assessed using High-Resolution Magic-Angle Spinning (1)H NMR Spectroscopy. *Environ. Pollut. Barking Essex*., **214**, 539–548. : 10.1016/ j.envpol.2016.04.057.

Blondel, C., Khelalfa F., Reynaud S., Fauvelle F. and Raveton M. (2016). Effect of Organochlorine Pesticides Exposure on the Maize Root Metabolome Assessed using High-Resolution Magic-Angle Spinning (1)H NMR Spectroscopy. *Environ. Pollut. Barking Essex*., **214**, 539–548. : 10.1016/ j.envpol.2016.04.057.

Buhinièek, I., Kauèiæ D., Koziæ Z., Jukiæ M., Gunjaèa J., Šarèeviæ H., Stepinac D. and Šimiæ D. (2021). Trends in Maize Grain Yields across Five Maturity Groups in a Long-Term Experiment with Changing Genotypes. *Agriculture*, **11**, 887. : 10.3390/ agriculture11090887.

Buhinièek, I., Kauèiæ D., Koziæ Z., Jukiæ M., Gunjaèa J., Šarèeviæ H., Stepinac D. and Šimiæ D. (2021). Trends in Maize Grain Yields across Five Maturity Groups in a Long-Term Experiment with Changing Genotypes. *Agriculture*, **11**, 887. : 10.3390/ agriculture11090887.

Calderón-Vázquez, C., Alatorre-Cobos F., Simpson-Williamson J. and Herrera-Estrella L. (2009). Maize Under Phosphate Limitation. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer New York; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 381–404.

Calderón-Vázquez, C., Alatorre-Cobos F., Simpson-Williamson J. and Herrera-Estrella L. (2009). Maize Under Phosphate Limitation. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer New York; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 381–404.

Campos, H., Cooper M., Habben J.E., Edmeades G.O. and Schussler J.R. (2004). Improving Drought Tolerance in Maize: A View from Industry. *Field Crops Res*., **90**, 19–34. : 10.1016/ j.fcr.2004.07.003.

Campos, H., Cooper M., Habben J.E., Edmeades G.O. and Schussler J.R. (2004). Improving Drought Tolerance in Maize: A View from Industry. *Field Crops Res*., **90**, 19–34. : 10.1016/ j.fcr.2004.07.003.

Challinor, A.J., Watson J., Lobell D.B., Howden S.M., Smith D.R. and Chhetri N. (2014). A Meta-Analysis of Crop Yield under Climate Change and Adaptation. *Nat. Clim. Change,* **4**, 287–291. : 10.1038/nclimate2153.

Challinor, A.J., Watson J., Lobell D.B., Howden S.M., Smith D.R. and Chhetri N. (2014). A Meta-Analysis of Crop Yield under Climate Change and Adaptation. *Nat. Clim. Change,* **4**, 287–291. : 10.1038/nclimate2153.

Cicchino, M., Edreira J.I.R., Uribelarrea M. and Otegui M.E. (2010). Heat Stress in Field-Grown Maize: Response of Physiological Determinants of Grain Yield. *Crop Sci.*, **50**, 1438–1448. : 10.2135/cropsci2009.10.0574.

Cicchino, M., Edreira J.I.R., Uribelarrea M. and Otegui M.E. (2010). Heat Stress in Field-Grown Maize: Response of Physiological Determinants of Grain Yield. *Crop Sci.*, **50**, 1438–1448. : 10.2135/cropsci2009.10.0574.

Crafts-Brandner, S.J. and Salvucci M.E. (2002). Sensitivity of Photosynthesis in a C4 Plant, Maize, to Heat Stress. *Plant Physiol*., **129**, 1773–1780. : 10.1104/pp.002170.

Crafts-Brandner, S.J. and Salvucci M.E. (2002). Sensitivity of Photosynthesis in a C4 Plant, Maize, to Heat Stress. *Plant Physiol*., **129**, 1773–1780. : 10.1104/pp.002170.

Deryng, D., Conway D., Ramankutty N., Price J. and Warren R. (2014). Global Crop Yield Response to Extreme Heat Stress under Multiple Climate Change Futures. *Environ. Res. Lett*., **9**, 034011. : 10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/034011.

Deryng, D., Conway D., Ramankutty N., Price J. and Warren R. (2014). Global Crop Yield Response to Extreme Heat Stress under Multiple Climate Change Futures. *Environ. Res. Lett*., **9**, 034011. : 10.1088/1748-9326/9/3/034011.

Duvick, D. (2005). The Contribution of Breeding to Yield Advances in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Adv. Agron*., **86**, 83–145. : 10.1016/ S0065-2113(05)86002-X.

Duvick, D. (2005). The Contribution of Breeding to Yield Advances in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Adv. Agron*., **86**, 83–145. : 10.1016/ S0065-2113(05)86002-X.

Easterling, W.E., Aggarwal P.K., Batima P., Brander K., Lin E., Howden S., Kirilenko A., Morton J., Soussana J.-F. and Schmidhuber J. (2007). *Food, fibre and forest products*. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge, UK: 2007. pp. 273–313.

Easterling, W.E., Aggarwal P.K., Batima P., Brander K., Lin E., Howden S., Kirilenko A., Morton J., Soussana J.-F. and Schmidhuber J. (2007). *Food, fibre and forest products*. Cambridge University Press; Cambridge, UK: 2007. pp. 273–313.

Farooq, M., Aziz T., Wahid A., Lee D. and Siddique K. (2009). Chilling Tolerance in Maize: Agronomic and Physiological Approaches. *Crop Pasture Sci*., **60**, 501–516. : 10.1071/CP08427.

Farooq, M., Aziz T., Wahid A., Lee D. and Siddique K. (2009). Chilling Tolerance in Maize: Agronomic and Physiological Approaches. *Crop Pasture Sci*., **60**, 501–516. : 10.1071/CP08427.

Franiæ, M. and Galiæ V. (2019). As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg: Physiological Implications and Toxicity in Plants. Plant Met. Funct. *Omics*, **2019**, 209–253. : 10.1007/978-3-030-19103-0_9.

Franiæ, M. and Galiæ V. (2019). As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg: Physiological Implications and Toxicity in Plants. Plant Met. Funct. *Omics*, **2019**, 209–253. : 10.1007/978-3-030-19103-0_9.

Geiger, H.H. (2009). Agronomic Traits and Maize Modifications: Nitrogen Use Efficiency. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 405–417.

Geiger, H.H. (2009). Agronomic Traits and Maize Modifications: Nitrogen Use Efficiency. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 405–417.

Gunes, A., Inal A., Alpaslan M., Cicek N., Guneri E., Eraslan F. and Guzelordu T. (2005). Effects of Exogenously Applied Salicylic Acid on the Induction of Multiple Stress Tolerance and Mineral Nutrition in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci*., **51**, 687–695. : 10.1080/03650340500336075.

Gunes, A., Inal A., Alpaslan M., Cicek N., Guneri E., Eraslan F. and Guzelordu T. (2005). Effects of Exogenously Applied Salicylic Acid on the Induction of Multiple Stress Tolerance and Mineral Nutrition in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci*., **51**, 687–695. : 10.1080/03650340500336075.

Harrison, M.T., Tardieu F., Dong Z., Messina C.D. and Hammer G.L. (2014). Characterizing Drought Stress and Trait Influence on Maize Yield under Current and Future Conditions. *Glob. Change Biol*., **20**, 867–878. : 10.1111/gcb.12381.

Harrison, M.T., Tardieu F., Dong Z., Messina C.D. and Hammer G.L. (2014). Characterizing Drought Stress and Trait Influence on Maize Yield under Current and Future Conditions. *Glob. Change Biol*., **20**, 867–878. : 10.1111/gcb.12381.

Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar K., Alam M.M., Roychowdhury R. and Fujita M. (2013). Physiological, Biochemical and Molecular Mechanisms of Heat Stress Tolerance in Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*., **14**, 9643–9684. : 10.3390/ijms14059643.

Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar K., Alam M.M., Roychowdhury R. and Fujita M. (2013). Physiological, Biochemical and Molecular Mechanisms of Heat Stress Tolerance in Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*., **14**, 9643–9684. : 10.3390/ijms14059643.

Heisey, P. and Edmeades G. (1999). Cimmyt 1997/98 World Maize Facts and Trends; Maize Production in Drought-Stressed Environments: Technical Options and Research Resource Allocation. *CIMMYT Int. Maize Wheat Improv. Cent. Facts Trends Overview Outlook*, **1999**, 9369. : 10.22004/ag.econ.9369.

Heisey, P. and Edmeades G. (1999). Cimmyt 1997/98 World Maize Facts and Trends; Maize Production in Drought-Stressed Environments: Technical Options and Research Resource Allocation. *CIMMYT Int. Maize Wheat Improv. Cent. Facts Trends Overview Outlook*, **1999**, 9369. : 10.22004/ag.econ.9369.

Jiang, P., Cai F., Zhao Z.-Q., Meng Y., Gao L.-Y. and Zhao T.-H. (2018). Physiological and Dry Matter Characteristics of Spring Maize in Northeast China under Drought Stress. *Water*, **10**, 1561. : 10.3390/w10111561.

Jiang, P., Cai F., Zhao Z.-Q., Meng Y., Gao L.-Y. and Zhao T.-H. (2018). Physiological and Dry Matter Characteristics of Spring Maize in Northeast China under Drought Stress. *Water*, **10**, 1561. : 10.3390/w10111561.

Krill, A.M., Kirst M., Kochian L.V., Buckler E.S. and Hoekenga O.A. (2010). Association and Linkage Analysis of Aluminum Tolerance Genes in Maize. *PLoS ONE*, **5**, e9958. : 10.1371/journal.pone.0009958.

Krill, A.M., Kirst M., Kochian L.V., Buckler E.S. and Hoekenga O.A. (2010). Association and Linkage Analysis of Aluminum Tolerance Genes in Maize. *PLoS ONE*, **5**, e9958. : 10.1371/journal.pone.0009958.

Lagriffoul, A., Mocquot B., Mench M. and Vangronsveld J. (1998). Cadmium Toxicity Effects on Growth, Mineral and Chlorophyll Contents and Activities of Stress Related Enzymes in Young Maize Plants (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Soil*, **200**, 241–250. : 10.1023/A:1004346905592.

Lagriffoul, A., Mocquot B., Mench M. and Vangronsveld J. (1998). Cadmium Toxicity Effects on Growth, Mineral and Chlorophyll Contents and Activities of Stress Related Enzymes in Young Maize Plants (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Soil*, **200**, 241–250. : 10.1023/A:1004346905592.

Leipner, J. and Stamp P. (2009). Chilling Stress in Maize Seedlings. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 291–310.

Leipner, J. and Stamp P. (2009). Chilling Stress in Maize Seedlings. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 291–310.

Lobell, D.B., Hammer G.L., McLean G., Messina C., Roberts M.J. and Schlenker W. (2013). The Critical Role of Extreme Heat for Maize Production in the United States. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **3**, 497–501. : 10.1038/nclimate1832.

Lobell, D.B., Hammer G.L., McLean G., Messina C., Roberts M.J. and Schlenker W. (2013). The Critical Role of Extreme Heat for Maize Production in the United States. *Nat. Clim. Change*, **3**, 497–501. : 10.1038/nclimate1832.

Matters, G.L. and Scandalios J.G. (1986). Effect of the Free Radical-

Generating Herbicide Paraquat on the Expression of the Superoxide Dismutase (Sod) Genes in Maize. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **882**, 29–38. : 10.1016/0304-4165(86)90051-6.

Matters, G.L. and Scandalios J.G. (1986). Effect of the Free Radical-Generating Herbicide Paraquat on the Expression of the Superoxide Dismutase (Sod) Genes in Maize. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **882**, 29–38. : 10.1016/0304-4165(86)90051-6.

McMullen, M., Frey M. and Degenhardt J. (2008). Handbook Maize. Springer; Berlin, Germany: 2008. *Genetics and Biochemistry of Insect Resistance in Maize*; pp. 271–289.

McMullen, M., Frey M. and Degenhardt J. (2008). Handbook Maize. Springer; Berlin, Germany: 2008. *Genetics and Biochemistry of Insect Resistance in Maize*; pp. 271–289.

Nemat Alla, M.M., Badawi A.-H.M., Hassan N.M., El-Bastawisy Z.M. and Badran E.G. (2008). Herbicide Tolerance in Maize is Related to Increased Levels of Glutathione and Glutathione-Associated Enzymes. *Acta Physiol. Plant*, **30**, 371–379. : 10.1007/s11738-008-0134-x.

Nemat Alla, M.M., Badawi A.-H.M., Hassan N.M., El-Bastawisy Z.M. and Badran E.G. (2008). Herbicide Tolerance in Maize is Related to Increased Levels of Glutathione and Glutathione-Associated Enzymes. *Acta Physiol. Plant*, **30**, 371–379. : 10.1007/s11738-008-0134-x.

Ngoune Tandzi, L., Mutengwa C.S., Ngonkeu E.L.M. and Gracen V. (2018). Breeding Maize for Tolerance to Acidic Soils: A review. *Agronomy*, **8**, 84. : 10.3390/agronomy8060084.

Ngoune Tandzi, L., Mutengwa C.S., Ngonkeu E.L.M. and Gracen V. (2018). Breeding Maize for Tolerance to Acidic Soils: A review. *Agronomy*, **8**, 84. : 10.3390/agronomy8060084.

Pál, M., Horváth E., Janda T., Páldi E. and Szalai G. (2006). Physiological Changes and Defense Mechanisms induced by Cadmium Stress in Maize. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, **169**, 239–246. : 10.1002/jpln.200520573.

Pál, M., Horváth E., Janda T., Páldi E. and Szalai G. (2006). Physiological Changes and Defense Mechanisms induced by Cadmium Stress in Maize. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, **169**, 239–246. : 10.1002/jpln.200520573.

Pandey, S., Ceballos H., Magnavaca R., Bahía Filho A.F.C., Duque-Vargas J. and Vinasco L.E. (1994). Genetics of Tolerance to Soil Acidity in Tropical Maize. *Crop Sci.*, **34**, 1511–1514. : 10.2135/cropsci1994.0011183X003400060018x.

Pandey, S., Ceballos H., Magnavaca R., Bahía Filho A.F.C., Duque-Vargas J. and Vinasco L.E. (1994). Genetics of Tolerance to Soil Acidity in Tropical Maize. *Crop Sci.*, **34**, 1511–1514. : 10.2135/cropsci1994.0011183X003400060018x.

Prasad, T.K., Anderson M.D., Martin B.A. and Stewart C.R. (1994). Evidence for Chilling-Induced Oxidative Stress in Maize Seedlings and a Regulatory Role for Hydrogen Peroxide. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 65–74. : 10.2307/3869675.

Prasad, T.K., Anderson M.D., Martin B.A. and Stewart C.R. (1994). Evidence for Chilling-Induced Oxidative Stress in Maize Seedlings and a Regulatory Role for Hydrogen Peroxide. *Plant Cell*, **6**, 65–74. : 10.2307/3869675.

Redinbaugh, M.G. and Pratt R.C. (2009) Virus Resistance. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 251–270.

Redinbaugh, M.G. and Pratt R.C. (2009) Virus Resistance. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 251–270.

Ribaut, J.-M., Betran J., Monneveux P. and Setter T. (2009). Drought Tolerance in Maize. In: Bennetzen, J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 311–344.

Ribaut, J.-M., Betran J., Monneveux P. and Setter T. (2009). Drought Tolerance in Maize. In: Bennetzen, J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 311–344.

Rosenzweig, C., Elliott J., Deryng D., Ruane A.C., Müller C., Arneth A., Boote K.J., Folberth C., Glotter M. and Khabarov N. (2014). Assessing Agricultural Risks of Climate Change in the 21st Century in a Global Gridded Crop Model Intercomparison. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **111**, 3268–3273. : 10.1073/pnas.1222463110.

Rosenzweig, C., Elliott J., Deryng D., Ruane A.C., Müller C., Arneth A., Boote K.J., Folberth C., Glotter M. and Khabarov N. (2014). Assessing Agricultural Risks of Climate Change in the 21st Century in a Global Gridded Crop Model Intercomparison. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **111**, 3268–3273. : 10.1073/pnas.1222463110.

Subbaiah, C.C. and Sachs M.M. (2009). Responses to Oxygen Deprivation and Potential for Enhanced Flooding Tolerance in Maize. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 345–365.

Subbaiah, C.C. and Sachs M.M. (2009). Responses to Oxygen Deprivation and Potential for Enhanced Flooding Tolerance in Maize. In: Bennetzen J.L. and Hake S.C. (eds). *Handbook of Maize: Its Biology*. Springer; New York, NY, USA: 2009. pp. 345–365.

Tollenaar, M., Lee E., Tollenaar M. and Lee E.A. (2002). Yield Potential, Yield Stability and Stress Tolerance in Maize. *Field Crops Res.* **75**, 161–169. : 10.1016/S0378-4290(02)00024-2.

Tollenaar, M., Lee E., Tollenaar M. and Lee E.A. (2002). Yield Potential, Yield Stability and Stress Tolerance in Maize. *Field Crops Res.* **75**, 161–169. : 10.1016/S0378-4290(02)00024-2.

Zhao, C., Liu B., Piao S., Wang X., Lobell D.B., Huang Y., Huang M., Yao Y., Bassu S. and Ciais P. (2017). Temperature increase Reduces Global Yields of Major Crops in four Independent Estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **114**, 9326–9331. : 10.1073/pnas.1701762114.

Zhao, C., Liu B., Piao S., Wang X., Lobell D.B., Huang Y., Huang M., Yao Y., Bassu S. and Ciais P. (2017). Temperature increase Reduces Global Yields of Major Crops in four Independent Estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **114**, 9326–9331. : 10.1073/pnas.1701762114.